

REMARKS

The Rejection Under 35 USC § 112

This rejection is moot in view of the amendments to the form of the claim as suggested by the Examiner.

All the claims recite the amount of the one or more pale or transparent particulate semiconductor materials or one or more particulate substrates coated with one or more pale or transparent semiconductor materials. For support, see, page 4, lines 20-24.

Additional formal changes have also been made to the claims to further clarify the same.

The Rejection Under 35 USC § 102

The claims are rejected as allegedly anticipated by Faris, Yukinobu or Elfenthal.

None of these references teach an embodiment where to a surface-coating composition or printing ink one or more pale or transparent particulate semiconductor materials or one or more particulate substrates coated with one or more pale or transparent semiconductor materials are added in an amount of 0.1-4 % by weight based on the surface-coating composition or the printing ink.

As such, there is no anticipation.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees associated with this response or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-3402.

Respectfully submitted,

/Csaba Henter/

Csaba Henter, Reg. No. 50,908
Attorney for Applicants

MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.
Arlington Courthouse Plaza I
2200 Clarendon Boulevard, Suite 1400
Arlington, Virginia 22201
Direct Dial: 703-812-5331
Facsimile: 703-243-6410

Filed: February 5, 2010
K:\MERCK\2000 - 2999\2968\REPLY JAN 10.DOC